## **OPINION 1746**

# Drosophila putrida Sturtevant, 1916 (Insecta, Diptera): holotype replaced by a neotype

## Ruling

(1) Under the plenary powers all previous fixations of type specimens for the nominal species *Drosophila putrida* Sturtevant, 1916 are hereby set aside and the specimen labelled '*Drosophila* (*D.*) *putrida* Sturtevant, 1916, NEOTYPE, Det. D.A. Grimaldi' from 'U.S.A.: New Jersey: Morris County, Pompton Plains, June, 1986, D.A. Grimaldi, coll.' in the American Museum of Natural History, New York, is designated as the neotype.

(2) The name *putrida* Sturtevant, 1916, as published in the binomen *Drosophila putrida* and as defined by the neotype designated in (1) above, is hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.

#### History of Case 2804

An application for the holotype of the nominal species *Drosophila putrida* Sturtevant, 1916 to be replaced by a neotype was received from Dr David Grimaldi (*American Museum of Natural History, New York, N.Y., U.S.A.*) on 14 December 1990. After correspondence the case was published in BZN **49**: 129-132 (June 1992). Notice of the case was sent to appropriate journals.

### **Decision of the Commission**

On 1 March 1993 the members of the Commission were invited to vote on the proposals published in BZN 49: 130. At the close of the voting period on 1 June 1993 the votes were as follows:

Affirmative votes — 23: Bayer, Bock, Bouchet, Cocks, Cogger, Corliss, Hahn, Halvorsen, Heppell, Holthuis, Kabata, Kraus, Macpherson, Mahnert, Martins de Souza, Minelli, Nielsen, Nye, Savage, Schuster, Thompson, Trjapitzin, Willink

Negative votes - 2: Dupuis and Lehtinen.

No votes were received from Starobogatov, Štys and Uéno.

Ride was on leave of absence.

#### **Original references**

The following is the original reference to the name placed on an Official List by the ruling given in the present Opinion:

putrida, Drosophila, Sturtevant, 1916, Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 9: 339.